	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/044 959	CARATI ET AL.
	y Examiner	Art Unit
	Christina Ildebrando	1725
All Participants:	Status of Application	н
(1) Christina Ildebrando.	(3)	
(2) Frederick Vastine.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ White Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, exydde a brief desor/biton:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 34-35		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	FWAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the applicati of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief's	ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance	a written summary or the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ar	pplicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Palent and Traderrurk PTOL-4138 (04-03) Continuation of Substantion of Interview Industria description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 34-56 were amended placing the application in condition for a disvovant. The amendments were proposed to positively recise the allowable method steps. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes, to be mided by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon changes can be found in the attained examiner's amendment.